UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

LISA S. GLOVER

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission

Docket No. DE 17-038

April 3, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	SOLICITATION PROCESS	4
IV.	RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD COMPLIANCE	9
VII.	CONCLUSION	. 11

LIST OF SCHEDULES

Schedule LSG-1: Bid Evaluation Report

 ${\bf Schedule\ LSG-2:\ Request\ for\ Proposals}$

Schedule LSG-3: Customer Migration Report

Schedule LSG-4: RPS Compliance Cost Estimates

Schedule LSG-5: Historical Pricing by Customer Group

1 I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 3 A. My name is Lisa S. Glover. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West, Hampton,
- 4 NH 03842.
- 5 Q. What is your relationship with Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.?
- 6 A. I am employed by Unitil Service Corp. ("USC") as an Energy Analyst. USC provides
- 7 management and administrative services to Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. ("UES") and
- 8 Unitil Power Corp. ("UPC").
- 9 Q. Please briefly describe your educational and business experience.
- 10 A. I received my Bachelor of Science degree in Environmental Science from the
- 11 University of Massachusetts and a Master of Public Administration degree at Norwich
- University. Before joining Unitil, I worked as an Energy Analyst with the MA
- Division of Energy Resources. I joined USC in February 2003 as an Energy
- 14 Efficiency Program Analyst with Business Services and then joined Energy Contracts
- in May 2014. I have primary responsibilities in the areas of electric market operation
- and data reporting, default service administration and budgeting. Additionally, I
- manage the Renewable Energy Credit procurement process as well as being
- responsible for Renewable Portfolio Standard compliance for Unitil.
- 19 Q. Have you previously testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities
- 20 Commission ("Commission")?

- 1 A. Yes. I have testified before the Commission, most recently in UES's Stranded Cost
- 2 Recovery and External Delivery Charge Reconciliation and Rate Filing, Docket No.
- 3 DE 16-668 and UES's Default Service Solicitation proceeding, Docket No. DE 16-
- 4 250.

16

17

18

19

20

21

5 II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

6 Q. Please describe the purpose of your testimony.

7 A. This testimony documents the solicitation process followed by UES in its acquisition 8 of Default Service power supplies ("DS") for its G1 and Non-G1 customers as 9 approved by the Commission in Order No. 25,397, dated July 31, 2012 (the "Order") 10 granting UES's Petition for Approval of Revisions to its Default Service Solicitation 11 Process for G1 and Non-G1 Customers. With the current Request for Proposal 12 ("RFP"), UES has contracted for a six-month default service power supply for 100% 13 of its small customer group (Non-G1); 100% of its medium customer group (Non-G1); 14 and 100% of its large customer group (G1) service requirements. Service begins on 15 June 1, 2017.

Q. Please describe the documents provided with this filing.

Supporting documentation and additional detail of the solicitation process is provided in the Bid Evaluation Report ("Report"), attached as Schedule LSG-1. The structure, timing and requirements associated with the solicitation are fully described in the RFP issued on March 1, 2017 and is attached as Schedule LSG-2. An updated Customer Migration Report is attached as Schedule LSG-3. The Customer Migration Report

shows monthly retail sales and customer counts supplied by competitive generation, total retail sales and customer counts (the sum of default service and competitive generation) and the percentage of sales and customers supplied by competitive generation. The report provides a rolling 13-month history which covers the period from February 2016 through February 2017. Renewable Portfolio Standard ("RPS") Compliance Cost Estimates are included as Schedule LSG-4. My testimony reviews UES's approach to compliance with the RPS which went into effect in January 2008. Schedule LSG-4 details projected obligations and price assumptions for the coming rate period. The price assumptions are based on recent market data information and alternative compliance payment prices. Lastly, Schedule LSG-5 provides historical price data by customer group that is no longer subject to confidential treatment. This schedule provides pricing histories associated with the most recent three-month rate periods for G1 customers or six-month rate periods for Non-G1 customers for which all pricing is currently subject to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's quarterly reporting requirements.

16 Q. Please summarize the approvals UES is requesting from the Commission.

17 A. UES requests that the Commission:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

• Find that: UES has followed the solicitation process approved by the Commission; UES's analysis of the bids submitted was reasonable; and UES has supplied a reasonable rationale for its choice of the winning suppliers.

- Find that: the price estimates of renewable energy certificates ("RECs") proposed by UES, based on actual purchases or current market prices and information, are appropriate for inclusion in retail rates.
- On the basis of these findings, conclude that the power supply costs resulting from
 the solicitation are reasonable and that the amounts payable to the sellers under the
 supply agreements are approved for inclusion in retail rates.
 - Issue an order granting the approvals requested herein on or before April 10, 2017, which is five (5) business days after the date of this filing.

9 III. SOLICITATION PROCESS

7

8

- 10 Q. Please discuss the Solicitation Process UES employed to secure the supply
 11 agreements for default service power supplies.
- 12 UES conducted an open solicitation in which it actively sought interest among A. 13 potential suppliers to provide load-following power supply to its Default Service 14 customers. UES provided bidders with access to information on its RFP website to 15 enable them to assess the risks and obligations associated with providing supply 16 services. UES did not discriminate in favor of or against any individual potential 17 supplier who expressed interest in the solicitation. UES negotiated with all potential 18 suppliers who submitted proposals to obtain the most favorable terms from each 19 potential supplier. The structure, timing and requirements associated with the 20 solicitation are fully described in the RFP issued on March 1, 2017. This is attached

- as Schedule LSG-2 and is summarized in the Bid Evaluation Report attached as Schedule LSG-1.
- 3 Q. How did UES ensure that the RFP was circulated to a large audience?
- 4 UES announced the electronic availability of the RFP to a list of power suppliers and A. 5 other entities such as distribution companies, consultants, brokers, and members of public agencies who have previously expressed interest in receiving notices of UES' 6 7 solicitations. The RFP was also distributed to all members of the NEPOOL Markets 8 Committee and Participants Committee. In addition, UES issued a media advisory to 9 a number of power markets publications announcing the issuance of the RFP. As a 10 result, the RFP had wide distribution throughout the New England supply 11 marketplace. UES followed up the E-mail solicitation with outreach to power 12 suppliers to solicit their interest in bidding on all customer classes.

13 Q. What information was provided in the RFP to potential suppliers?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. The RFP provides background information and historical data, details the service requirements and commercial terms, explains the process for selecting the winning bidders. To gain the greatest level of market interest in supplying the load, UES provided potential bidders with appropriate and accessible information. Data provided included historical hourly default service loads and daily capacity tags for each customer group; class average load shapes; historical monthly retail sales and customer counts by rate class and supply type; and the evaluation loads, which are the estimated monthly volumes that UES would use to weigh bids in terms of price. The

retail sales report and the historical loads and capacity tag values were updated prior to final bidding to provide the latest information available. All documents and data files were provided to potential suppliers via UES's corporate website (www.unitil.net/rfp).

Q. How did UES evaluate the bids received?

A.

A. UES evaluated the bids on both quantitative and qualitative criteria, including price, market conditions, creditworthiness, willingness to extend adequate credit to UES to facilitate the transaction, capability of performing the terms of the RFP in a reliable manner and the willingness to enter into contractual terms acceptable to UES. UES compared the pricing strips proposed by the bidders by calculating weighted average prices for the supply requirement using the evaluation loads that were issued with the RFP.

Q. How did market conditions impact the prices for this next period?

Overall prices submitted for the period were about 37% higher than the same period a year ago and 7% higher than the previous period 6-month period (Dec-2016 to May-2017) for the Non-G1 classes. UES Large customer (G1) adder bid pricing was substantially higher for this period's solicitation, on average 102% above the previous 6-month period (Dec-2016 to May-2017) and 132% higher the same period a year ago. Higher bid prices are attributed to the cost of capacity increasing as a result of the Forward Capacity Market auction ("FCM") for the commitment period represented in this solicitation. The payment rate for an existing capacity offer for the commitment period 6/1/2017 through 5/31/2018, as determined by the FCM auction, is \$7.03 per

kilowatt month, which represents a 157% increase when compared to the prior

commitment period of 6/1/2016 through 5/31/2017, where the current year existing

capacity offer is \$2.74. New capacity offer rates increased 376%, from \$3.15 to

\$15.00. Taking into account these market conditions, the company determined the

pricing submitted was fair and competitive.

6 Q. Please summarize the winning bidders for each customer supply requirement.

UES selected Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC ("Direct Energy") as the winning bidder of the small customer (Non-G1) supply requirement (100% share) and TransCanada Power Marketing Ltd ("TransCanada") as the winning bidder of the medium customer (Non-G1) supply requirement (100% share). NextEra Power Marketing, LLC ("NextEra") was selected as the supplier of the large customer (G1) supply requirement (100% share). All three transactions are for a period of six months. UES believes that Direct Energy and TransCanada offered the best overall value in terms of both price and non-price considerations for the supply requirements sought.

Q. Please describe the contents of the Bid Evaluation Report.

A.

A. Schedule LSG-1 contains the Bid Evaluation Report which further details the solicitation process, the evaluation of bids, and the selection of the winning bidders.

The Report contains a narrative discussion of the solicitation process. Additional discussion regarding the selection of the winning bidders is provided along with several supporting exhibits that list the suppliers who participated, as well as the

pricing they submitted and other information considered by UES in evaluating final proposals, including redlined versions of the final supply agreements.

On the basis of the information and analysis contained in the Bid Evaluation Report, UES submits that it has complied with the Commission's requirements, and that the resulting default service power supply costs are reasonable and that the amounts payable to the sellers under the supply agreements should be approved for inclusion in retail rates.

Q. Please elaborate on the supplier response to this solicitation.

A.

The response to this solicitation was positive in that UES continued to receive a number of competitive bids from suppliers who sought to serve load to its Small and Medium customers. As has been the case recently, the number of suppliers who bid for the Large Load continues to be limited, although UES did receive more bids for this solicitation than the previous one. One bidder dropped out in the final bid stage due to an inability to adequately work out credit terms. UES followed up with a number of potential bidders early on in the process in order to discuss their interest in bidding for the Large Load and to understand their assessment of the risks associated with bidding a fixed adder to the energy pass-through for this class. A couple of suppliers that we spoke to indicated that they were not interested because the load was too small for them to serve. A few indicated that they would think about it with one stating they would probably consider it in the next solicitation. One stated that factors such as the small size of the class, potential significant changes to load factors, and

- Day-Ahead Real-Time risk factored into their decision not to bid. Some bidders did not get back to us with a response. The Company will continue to reach out to suppliers to encourage their participation in our solicitation process.
- 4 Q. Please indicate the planned issuance date, filing date and expected approval date
 5 associated with UES's next default service solicitation.
- A. Similar to the current solicitation, UES's next default service solicitation will be for one hundred percent (100%) of the small, medium and large customer supply requirements for a six-month period. Delivery of supplies will begin on December 1, 2017. UES plans to issue an RFP for these supplies on August 29, 2017, with a filing for approval of solicitation results planned for September 29, 2017 and approval anticipated by October 13, 2017.

12 IV. RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD COMPLIANCE

- Q. Please explain how UES is complying with the Renewable Portfolio Standard
 requirements.
- In accordance with the settlement agreement dated July 16, 2009, UES typically issues two REC RFPs annually, each for approximately 50% of its projected REC obligations. In addition, UES may make REC purchases outside of the RFP process when it finds it advantageous to do so. For 2017 RPS compliance, UES issued a REC RFP in February 2017 for approximately half of its requirement. Some additional purchases outside of the REC RFP process have also been made. Tab A includes an

- exhibit summarizing UES's REC purchases for RPS compliance. UES anticipates issuing another REC RFP at a later date in 2017.
- 3 Q. Please describe UES's estimates of RPS compliance costs.
- A. The current solicitation is for default service power supplies to be delivered beginning

 June 1, 2017. Schedule LSG-4 lists the percentage of sales and the resulting REC

 requirement for each class of RECs for RPS compliance along with UES's cost

 estimates for the period beginning June 1, 2017. UES's cost estimates are based on

 current market prices as communicated by brokers of renewable products, recent

 purchases of RECs, and alternative compliance payment rates for 2017.
- 10 Q. Does UES's estimate of RPS costs incorporate the latest RPS requirements for 2017?
- 12 A. Yes. The following table provides a summary of the RPS requirements.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Calendar Year	Class I*	Class I Thermal	Class II	Class III	Class			
2017	7.80%	1.40%	0.30%	8.00%	1.50%			

Schedule LSG-4 RPS Compliance Costs Estimates incorporates the latest RPS requirements shown here.

NHPUC Docket No. DE 17-038 Testimony of Lisa S. Glover Exhibit LSG-1 Page 11 of 11

- 1 VII. CONCLUSION
- 2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 3 A. Yes.